

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,466	10/29/2003	Randall E. Carter	PTC-001-011	5981	
23451	7590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER		
ROBERT R HUSSEY CO., LPA P O BOX 400			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
	MSTED, OH 440700400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3751		
			DATE MAILED: 00/15/200	DATE MAIL ED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				À				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/696,466	CARTER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Steven O. Douglas	3751					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE - Exte aftet - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, set or extended period for reply will.		timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2003.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Application or ty documents have been received in the control of the contr	ntion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachmen	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10292003</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/696,466

Art Unit: 3751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-10 and 23-29 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10 and 27-33, respectively, of copending Application No. 10/082,590. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Examiner has made every effort to confirm that claims 1-10 and 23-29 are the only duplicate claims in the instant application. Due to the number of claims, Applicant is respectfully requested to review his or her notes and verify that these are the only duplicate claims existing.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/696,466

Art Unit: 3751

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-22 and 30-55 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10,19,20,23,24 and 27-36 of allowed Application No. 10/082,590. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the allowed application claims define a device and method that anticipates the now claimed subject matter. Examiner takes Official Notice that anticipation falls well within the scope or definition of Obviousness. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have claims to the now claimed subject matter in view of Examiner's noticed fact. See also *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d.1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993) in support of Examiner's position.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,466

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollAfree).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD 9-9-04